Amendment dated June 14, 2006

After Final Office Action of December 15, 2005

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claims 1-4, 9-16 and 19-26 are currently being prosecuted. The Examiner is

respectfully requested to reconsider his rejections in view of the amendments and remarks as set

forth below.

Entry of Amendment

Applicant submits that the entry of the present Amendment is proper and is respectfully

requested. The present Amendment includes the cancellation of claims 5-7, 17 and 18 which

clearly does not involve any new issues. At the same time, claim 21 has been added which is a

combination of claims 1 and 8 which also does not raise any new issues since it is only the

rewriting of a dependent claim in independent form. Claims 22-26 merely repeat claims 2-4, 9

and 10, but depend from new claim 21. Thus, Applicant submits that no new issues are caused

by this addition either.

Applicant is submitting an amendment at this time in order to limit the issues on appeal.

Thus, claims have been cancelled and dependent claims have been rewritten in independent

form. Under these circumstances, Applicant submits that entry of the Amendment is appropriate

and is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 USC 103

Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Takahashi et al.

(U.S. Patent 5.903.239) in view of Stopperan (U.S. Patent 5,719,749). This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

KM/RFG/njp

7

Docket No.: 4459-0149P

Applicant previously submitted remarks concerning this rejection in the response of

March 15, 2006. Applicant submits that these comments also apply to the current set of claims.

Applicant will supply further arguments in the Appeal Brief in due course.

Conclusion

Applicant submits that the present Amendment is proper for entry and that the claims

distinguish over the prior art.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments, please contact Robert F. Gnuse, Reg.

No. 27,295 at the offices of Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension

of time fees.

Dated: June 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Kinney Muncy

Registration No.: 32,334

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

KM/RFG/njp

8